

SEMI PRIME DERIVATION ALTERNATOR RINGS

Dr.D.BHARATHI^{*} Dr MERAM MUNIRATHNAM^{#1}

ABSTRACT: In [4] Rich showed that a prime ring with idempotent $e \neq 1$ and if every idempotent lies in their nuclei, then R is alternative. In this section we prove that a semiprime derivation alternator ring with idempotent $e \neq 1$ and characteristic $\neq 2$, then idempotent is in flexible nucleus. At the end of this section we give some examples.

Index Terms: Derivation alternator ring, Nucleus, Prime ring, Semi prime ring, Flexible nucleus.



1. INTRODUCTION:

In [1] and [2] E.Kleinfeld defined two different generalizations of alternative rings, and for each generalizations he showed that the simple rings with idempotent $e \neq 1$ to be alternative. Both of these generalizations defined by kleinfeld are contained in the derivation alternator rings, and this we extend his results to simple derivation alternator rings with idempotent $e \neq 1$ and characteristic $\neq 2$. Hentzel and Smith [3] investigated the structure of non-associative, flexible derivation alternator rings. A non-associative ring with characteristic $\neq 2$ is called a derivation alternator ring if it satisfies the identities:

- $(x, x, x) = 0$ 1
- $(yz, x, x) = y(z, x, x) + (y, x, x)z$ 2
- $(x, x, yz) = y(x, x, z) + (x, x, y)z$ 3
- Linearizing (1) leads to the identity $(x, x, y) + (x, y, x) + (y, x, x) = 0$ 4
- Now linearize equation (2) gives $(yz, x, w) = y(z, x, w) + (y, x, w)z$ 5
- replace $y=z=x, x=y$ and $w=z$ we have $(x^2, y, z) = x(x, y, z) + (x, y, z)x$ 6
- $(x^2, y, z) = x^o(x, y, z)$ 6

where $xoy = xy + yx$.

Linearize equation (3) we have $(w, x, yz) = y(w, x, z) + (w, x, y)z$ 7

Replace $y=z=x, x=y, w=z$ we have

$(z, y, x^2) = x(z, y, x) + (z, y, x)x$ 8

Replacing x by $x + w$ in (6) and (8) yields $(x \circ w, y, z) = x \circ (w, y, z) + w \circ (x, y, z)$ 9

and $(z, y, x \circ w) = x \circ (z, y, w) + w \circ (z, y, x)$. 10

The Teichmuller identity holds for all rings $(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z$ 11

Also the following identity holds in any ring $[xoy, z] + [yoz, x] + [zox, y] = 0$ 12

In this paper, let A denote derivation alternator ring satisfies (1) to (3).

We define the flexible nucleus of a non-associative ring A to be

$N_F(A) = \{r \in A / 0 = (x, r, x) = (r, x, r) = (r, x, y) + (y, x, r) \text{ for all } x, y \in A\}$.

2.Main Results:

LEMMA1: Let $H = \{h \in A_{1/2} / [e, h] = 0\}$. Then $HA_i \subseteq H$ and $A_i H \subseteq H$ for $i=0,1$.

PROOF: Let $h \in H$ and $x_i \in A_i$ for $i=0,1$.

From (2) and the definition of H we have $0 = ([e, h], w, w) = [(e, w, w), h] + [e, (h, w, w)]$ for all $w \in A$.

In particular,

$0 = [(e, e, x_i) + (e, x_i, e), h] + [e, (h, e, x_i) + (h, x_i, e)] = [e, (h, e, x_i) + (h, x_i, e)]$

¹Associate professor, Department of mathematics, Sri venkateswara University, Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India. Bharathikavali@yahoo.co.in

^{2 #1}Ad-hoc Lecturer, Dept of Mathematics, RGUKT, AP-IIIIT, Iduapapaya, Kadapa(Dt), Pin:516329.

Email:munirathnam1986@gmail.com

since $(h, e, x_i) + (h, x_i, e) \in A_{1/2}$, it follows
 $(h, e, x_i) + (h, x_i, e) \in H$.

Now $h = 2he$, so

$$2[(h, e, x_i) + (h, x_i, e)] = (1-4i)hx_i + 2(hx_i)e \in H.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(4i-1)hx_i \equiv 2(hx_i)e \pmod{H}. \quad 13$$

Then, since $0 = [e, h] = 2[e, he]$ implies $He \subseteq H$, multiplying (13) through on the right by $2e$ gives
 $(8i-2)(hx_i)e \equiv 4[(hx_i)e]e \pmod{H}$.

But using (2) and $4(h, e, e) = 2he - 4he = -h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4[(hx_i)e]e &= 4(hx_i, e, e) + 4(hx_i)e \\ &= 4[h(x_i, e, e) + (h, e, e)x_i] + 4(hx_i)e \\ &= -hx_i + 4(hx_i)e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (8i-2)(hx_i)e &\equiv -hx_i + 4(hx_i)e \pmod{H}, \text{ or} \\ hx_i &\equiv (3-4i)2(hx_i)e \pmod{H}. \quad 14 \end{aligned}$$

Finally combining (13) and (14) leads to mod H to

$$\begin{aligned} hx_i &\equiv (3-4i)2(hx_i)e \\ &\equiv (3-4i)(4i-1)hx_i = -3hx_i \text{ for } i=0 \text{ or } 1. \end{aligned}$$

But then $4hx_i = 0 \pmod{H}$, so that $HA_i \subseteq H$.

Similarly we can obtain $A_i H \subseteq H$.

Hence lemma is proved. ♦

LEMMA 2: $(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e) \in Z$ for $y_{1/2} \in A_{1/2}$ and $x \in A$.

PROOF: From equation (6) and (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e^2, x, y_{1/2}) &= e_0(e, x, y_{1/2}) \quad 15 \\ (y_{1/2}, x, e^2) &= e_0(y_{1/2}, x, e) \quad 16 \end{aligned}$$

By adding (15) and (16) we have

$$(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e) = e_0[(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)]$$

Also subtracted one equation from another, we obtain

$$[e, (e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)] = 0.$$

In particular this shows that

$$(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e) \in H. \quad 17$$

Next let $x_{1/2} \in A_{1/2}$. Then the fact $x_{1/2} o y_{1/2} \in A_1 + A_0$ in the Albert decomposition [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e, x_{1/2}, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x_{1/2}, e) &= (e x_{1/2}) y_{1/2} - \\ e(x_{1/2} y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2} x_{1/2}) e - y_{1/2} (x_{1/2} e) &= (e x_{1/2}) o y_{1/2} - \\ e(x_{1/2} o y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2} x_{1/2}) o e - y_{1/2} x_{1/2} &\in A_1 + A_0 \end{aligned}$$

But this together with (17) implies

$$(e, x_{1/2}, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x_{1/2}, e) \in (A_1 + A_0) \cap A_{1/2} = 0.$$

18

Let $x_i \in A_i$ for $i=0, 1$, from linearized (7), theorem (2) and (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(e, x_i, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x_i, e)] x_{1/2} &= \\ [(e, x_i x_{1/2}, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x_i x_{1/2}, e)] - \\ x_i [(e, x_{1/2}, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x_{1/2}, e)] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in conjunction with (18) we have

$$[(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)] = 0.$$

Similarly we go for opposite way we get

$$A_{1/2} [(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)] = 0 = [(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)] A_{1/2} \quad 19$$

Lastly, let $h = [(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)]$ and again $x_i \in A_i$ for $i=0, 1$. then by (12) we have

$$0 = [e o x_i, h] + [x_i o h, e] + [h o e, x_i] = (2i-1)[x_i, h] + [x_i o h, e].$$

But by (17) $[x_i o h, e] = 0$ and lemma (13), we have

$$(2i-1)[x_i, h] = 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$[A_i, (e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e)] = 0 \text{ for } i=0, 1. \quad 20$$

Hence lemma proved. ♦

THEOREM 1: If A is a semiprime derivation alternator ring with idempotent $e \neq 1$ and characteristic $\neq 2$, then idempotent e is in flexible nucleus.

PROOF: It will suffice to show $(e, x, y) + (y, x, e) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$, since then also $(x, e, x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$, since then also $(x, e, x) = 0$ by linearized (1).

Since R is semiprime, from (14) we have

$$(e, x, y_{1/2}) + (y_{1/2}, x, e) = 0 \text{ for } x \in A \text{ and } y_{1/2} \in A_{1/2}. \quad 21$$

Also from theorem (2) we have

$$(e, x_i, y_i) = 0 = (y_i, x_i, e) \text{ for } x_i \in A_i \text{ and } y_i \in A_i \text{ where } i, j=0, 1. \quad 22$$

We next consider $x \in A_{1/2}$ and $y_i \in A_i$ for $i=0, 1$.

From (6) and (8) put $z=x$ and by linearizing, we have

$$(e^2, x, y_i) = e_0[(e, x, y_i) + (y_i, x, e)]$$

$$\text{And } (y_i, x, e^2) = e_0[(e, x, y_i) + (y_i, x, e)]$$

Since $w = (e, x, y_i) + (y_i, x, e) \in A_{1/2}$ from theorem (2),

adding last two equations gives

$$W = 2e_0 w = 2w. \text{ Hence } w = 0, \text{ so that}$$

$$(e, x, y_i) + (y_i, x, e) = 0 \text{ for } x \in A_{1/2} \text{ and } y_i \in A_i \text{ where } i=0, 1. \quad 23$$

From equations (21), (22) and (23) that

$$(e, x, y) + (y, x, e) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A \text{ this completes the theorem. } \blacklozenge$$

Example 1: Let A be any Lie ring. Then, since $x^2=0$ and $xoy=0$ for all $x, y \in A$, the identities (1), (6), and (8) must hold in A. Hence, there are simple finite-dimensional nil algebras satisfying (1), (6), and (8) (the sample Lie algebras), so that postulating the

existence of an idempotent severely limits the possibilities for A when A is simple.

Example 2: A direct calculation shows that the algebra A of class which is given by the basis {1, x, y} where $x^2 = y^2 = 0$, $xy = -yx = 1$ satisfies (1), (6) and (8). Thus A is a derivation alternator ring. In [6] Kleinfeld et. defined a construction which gave rise to a class to simple finite-dimension algebras satisfying the identify $(x, y, z) = (z, y, x)$, in which the flexible identify $(x, y, x) = 0$ fails. Hence, these algebras (which possess unity elements) cannot be alternative.

Example 3: Let A be an algebra over the field F with a basis {e, x, y} where $e^2 = e$, $ex = x + y$, $xe = -y$, $ey = y$, $ye = x^2 = y^2 = xy = yx = 0$. We see that $A_1 = Fe$, $A_{1/2} = Fx + Fy$, $A_0 = 0$. If $z = \alpha e + \beta x + \gamma y$, $z = \alpha e + \beta x + \gamma y$ then $z^2 = \alpha z$ so that A is power-associative and satisfies (1). Any easy calculation reveals that $(w, u, v) = 0$ for all $w, u, v \in A$. But then $(z^2, u, v) = (\alpha z, u, v) = \alpha(z, u, v)$ while $(z, u, v) = 0$ so $(z, u, v) = 0$ and (3.1.6) holds. In a similar fashion (3.1.8) must be valid in A. We see that $e(xe) = (ex)e = -y \neq 0$ so that $A_{1/2}$ does not decompose into $A_{10} + A_{01}$.

3.References:

1. Kleinfeld, E. "Generalization of alternative rings I", J.Algebra. 18(1971), 304-325.
2. Kleinfeld, E. "Generalization of alternative rings II", J.Algebra. 18(1971), 326-339.
3. Hentzel, I.R. and Smith, H.F. "Semiprime locally (-1,1) rings with minimum condition", Algebras, Groups and geometries.2 (1985),26-52.
4. Rich, M., "Rings with idempotents in their Nuclei", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 208(1975),81-90.
5. Kleinfeld, E., F. Kosier, J. Osborn, and D. Rodabaugh, The structure of associator dependent rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1964), 473-483.
6. Albert, A.A ., "Power-associative rings", Trans. Amer, Soc. 64 (1948), 552-593.

IJSER